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**ABSTRACT**

Teacher participation in curriculum development has been the subject of considerable educational research in recent years, but the participation of teachers in curriculum piloting has received limited empirical attention. The purpose of this study was to establish teachers’ perspective of their involvement in piloting of the new curriculum and implementation of secondary school curriculum in Kenya. The study employed descriptive survey research design. A sample of 342 teachers who included 194 males and 148 females participated in the study. Questionnaires for teachers and an interview guide for principals were employed in data collection. The collected data was analysed by use of both descriptive and inferential statistics. The study findings established that there was statically significant relationship between teachers’ involvement in curriculum piloting and implementation of secondary school curriculum; curriculum development process in Kenya is largely centrally-controlled by Kenya Institute of Curriculum Development (KICD) and the experiences and talents of teachers are untapped and under-utilized during curriculum development process. The study recommends that teachers should be involved in the planning and development of the curriculum in all stages for effective implementation. The KICD should lay better strategies to involve teachers in the curriculum piloting for effective delivery
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**1.1 Introduction**

Curriculum development is the most important stage in the educational system of any country. It covers the entire spectrum of curriculum construction, ranging from the initial conceptualization and planning; to design, development and implementation, to evaluation and revision. According to Mafoa (2012), a developed curriculum package consists of textbooks, instructional aids and sometimes even tests for students and teachers self- evaluation. It also includes teachers’ guides containing a comprehensive syllabus, the rationale for choices of content items, teaching goals and teaching suggestions.

Curriculum implementation entails putting into practice the officially prescribed courses of study, syllabuses and subjects. The process takes place as the learners acquire planned experiences, knowledge, skills, ideas and attitudes that are aimed at enabling the learner to function effectively in the society.

According to Mosothwane (2012), teacher involvement in curriculum development empowers them to use a variety of teaching methods and materials that could promote effective learning. Curricular activities acquired through participation in the development projects could serve teachers in the implementation of externally developed curriculum materials including commercially prepared textbooks (Ben-Peretz, 1990).

Whenever a curriculum is written as in books, course materials, modules or the whole curricular programme, there is need to have a try- out or field – testing. This process will gather empirical data to support whether the materials or the curriculum is useful, relevant, reliable and valid. The Alberta Teachers Association (2008) notes that implementation of a new curriculum requires that a draft programme and resources be evaluated through a pilot project. Piloting should assess teaching methods, appropriateness of content, materials, issues of timing and flow, and general effectiveness of the training (I-TECH, 2008). Pilot – testing assures teachers and schools that indeed the curriculum materials are ready for use.

UNESCO (2009) observed that in many contexts, there has been a tendency for curriculum to be developed by curriculum specialists and given to teachers to be delivered as a product. In these circumstances, the teacher may feel de- professionalised and disempowered, becoming little more than a curriculum delivery technician. According to Carl (2009), teacher participation in curriculum piloting would enable teachers to reflect on the curriculum and propose possible amendments, give a critical interpretation, evaluation of learning areas or subjects’ position in the school curriculum, selection of suitable and relevant textbooks and other resources. Participants in pilots experience significant personal and professional development and can take ownership of the proposed change. In an evaluation study by KICD to determine the effectiveness of its inputs, processes, and outputs, only 40% of curriculum developers said they were happy with the quality of their product, one of the main reasons being that curriculums are hurriedly done and lacked internal quality control mechanisms (KICD, 2015). Teachers should therefore be given an opportunity to test curriculum products and give a critique on various aspect of the curriculum before it is rolled out in schools.

**1.2 Statement of The Problem**

In Kenya, curriculum development process is highly centralised with Kenya Institute of Curriculum Development (KICD) being the main government agency charged with the responsibility of developing the curriculum through the course and subjects panels. The ministry of education view teachers as mere implementers who enter the process of curriculum development at a much later stage, during the national implementation. The basic component of the curriculum, the syllabus, is designed elsewhere and given to those for whom it is intended. The accompanying learning and teaching materials are also developed, examined, validated and approved for use in the schools by respective subject panels in order to facilitate curriculum piloting and implementation (Saw, 2017). By the time teachers are hired and posted to various schools, every aspect about curriculum has already been made and in operation (Oliva, 2005). The task of the teacher is to find out how to use the new curriculum as effectively as possible as there is no opportunity for teachers to consider alternatives. Such a scenario may hinder the attainment of national goals of education as teachers’ interpretation of the new curriculum may not be well articulated with the curriculum as imaged at the policy and programmatic levels. Literature on teachers’ views on their role in selection and development of curriculum support materials is scanty. It was against this background that this study sought to establish the relationship between teachers’ perspective between curriculum piloting and implementation of secondary school curriculum in Kenya.

**1.3 Research Objective**

To establish whether there is a relationship between teachers’ participation in curriculum piloting and implementation of secondary school curriculum in Kenya.

**1.4** **Research Hypothesis**

**Ho:** There is no statistically significant relationship between teachers’ participation in curriculum piloting and implementation of secondary school curriculum in Kenya

 **1.5 Methodology**

This study adopted descriptive survey research design and was conducted in Meru and Nairobi Counties, Kenya. The target population was 3146 secondary school teachers comprising of 1781 males and 1365 females drawn from 351 secondary schools in Nairobi and Meru Counties. The study employed stratified random sampling procedures to select a representative sample of 342 teachers who proportionately included 194 males and 148 females. Data collection methods included Interview guide for principals and questionnaire for teachers. Both descriptive and inferential statistics were used in data analysis. Descriptive statistics involved calculation of means, frequency counts and percentages. For inferential statistics, chi square was used to test the hypotheses and was computed and tested for significance at ∝= 0.05 level of significance. Qualitative data was organized and presented in narrative and discussion form.

**1.6 Results and Discussion**

Respondents were requested to indicate the extent of their participation in curriculum piloting during curriculum development process. The information was analysed by determining the mean and standard deviation on five items on a 5 –point Likert scale where: To a Greater Extent= 5, To Some Extent= 4, Undecided= 3, To a Small Extent= 2 and Not at All= 1. Table 1 presents the results

Table 1

Teachers’ Participation in Curriculum Piloting

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Participation | N | M | SD |
| Participated in assessing the relevance of the proposed curriculum | 272 | 1.60 | 1.16 |
| Participated in the advisory and orientation of teachers involved in piloting | 272 | 1.44 | 1.05 |
| Involved in the actual piloting of the proposed curriculum in the selected schools | 272 | 1.42 | 1.02 |
| Participated in in-service training before piloting | 272 | 1.43 | 1.05 |
| Participated in approving the curriculum support materials for the new curriculum | 272 | 1.49 | 1.10 |
| Overall mean | 272 | 1.47 | 0.99 |

From Table 1, the study established that teachers did not at all participate in curriculum piloting *(M*=1.47). Results also indicate that teachers never participated in assessing the relevance of the proposed curriculum (*M*=1.60) and that teachers did not at all participate in the actual piloting of the proposed curriculum in the selected schools (*M*=1.42). Lack of participation in curriculum piloting could have a negative influence on the effective implementation of secondary school curriculum in Kenya. The information gathered from the principals supported the views that teachers rarely participated in curriculum piloting. Principals’ views are given in Excerpt 1.

**Excerpt 1**

Researcher: How are teachers involved in curriculum piloting during the curriculum development process?

Respondent 1: Teachers are not involved in this process at all. Curriculum is implemented immediately without seeking the opinion of the implementers.

Respondent 2: When there are changes, Heads of Department from big schools may be invited for training for one or two days so that they can also carry out the training of other teachers in their sub counties. This does not work out so well.

Respondent 3: piloting is done by a few selected schools and few teachers are involved. We are never aware of these schools and the criteria used to select them are not known. It is a closed system.

Respondent 4: Few teachers are involved in “phasing in, phasing out” process employed by the KICD to introduce new changes in the curriculum. A new curriculum is introduced in different classes. There are no regular and scheduled in-service and orientation programs to continuously enhance the capacity of teachers to interpret and implement the curriculum effectively

Respondent 5: No participation at all from my school. I doubt whether there is any piloting conducted by KICD

The findings show that teachers are only involved in curriculum piloting to a small extent probably through the Phasing in – phasing out process employed by the KICD since 2002 (KIE, 2005). This may contribute to poor implementation of secondary school curriculum. The Alberta Teachers Association (2008) state that whenever a curriculum is written as in books, course materials, modules or the whole curricular program, there is need to have a try-out or field-testing. This process will gather empirical data to support whether the materials or curriculum is useful, relevant, reliable and valid.

In an evaluation study of the KICD to determine the effectiveness of its inputs, processes and outputs, only 40% of curriculum developers said they were happy with the quality of their product; that it meets professional qualifications with inputs from expert. However other curriculum developers reported that the product is never perfect because of challenges such as several levels of curricula being presented at the same time, the quality is not good, lack of internal quality control mechanisms/internal critique, curriculums are hurriedly done hence they have many typological errors and the Course Panellists add little value as they are not experts of the subjects being presented to them (KICD, 2014). Teachers who are experts in their teaching areas should therefore be given an opportunity to participate in the process in order to help improve the quality of the curriculum.

The researcher sought perspective from the teachers on their participation in curriculum piloting and effective implementation of secondary school curriculum. The information was analysed by determining the mean and standard deviation on six items on 5 point Likert scale where: Strongly Agree=5, Agree=4, Undecided=3, Disagree=2 and Strongly Disagree =1.. The results of the analysis are presented in Table 2.

Table 2

Curriculum Piloting and Effective Implementation of Secondary School Curriculum

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Opinion | N | M | SD |
| Participation enables teachers to identify the weaknesses and strengths of the curriculum and propose changes | 272 | 4.54 | 0.65 |
| Participation in curriculum orientation and advisory services leads to effective implementation | 272 | 4.35 | 0.70 |
| Participation in piloting increases teachers knowledge in the selection of curriculum support materials during implementation | 272 | 4.32 | 0.72 |
| Participation in in-service training leads to effective implementation of the curriculum  | 272 | 4.35 | 0.74 |
| Teachers do not implement the curriculum effectively without participating in curriculum piloting  | 272 | 2.64 | 1.46 |
| Overall Mean | 272 | 4.04 | 0.54 |

From Table 2, study findings show that in overall, teachers agreed that teacher participation in the curriculum piloting exercise would have a positive effect on the implementation of secondary school curriculum (*M*=4.04). Teachers moderately agreed that they did not implemented the curriculum effectively without participating in curriculum piloting activities (*M*=2.64). These findings were supported by information gathered from the interviews with principals who were also in agreement that participation in curriculum piloting among teachers was a critical factor in effective implementation of the secondary school curriculum. Principals descriptions are given in Excerpt 2.

**Excerpt 2**

Researcher: Do you think there is any relationship between teacher participation in curriculum piloting and implementation of secondary school curriculum? Explain your answer.

Respondent 5: Yes. When teachers are involved in piloting, they are able to identify gaps in the curriculum which would lead to either review or change at an early stage

Respondent 11: Teachers would understand the process and give critique or suggest what will work and what will not work. We would not be teaching a lot of irrelevances like we do today

Respondent 14: Yes. One gets to know the content and to familiarize oneself with materials and methodologies before national wide implementation

Respondent 6: Participation creates ownership of the whole process and motivates teachers to work even harder because they feel it’s their process.

Researcher: How can teachers be empowered to participate in future?

Respondent 10: It is the responsibility of the Ministry of Education and the teachers’ employer (TSC) to ensure that teachers are trained regularly to enable them get new skills for effective participation

 Proper mechanisms should be put in place to enable teacher participation in the whole process because in the end, they hold the key to children’ future

The findings in excerpt 2 tend to suggest that even though teachers participated in curriculum piloting to a small extent, they strongly believed that participation in curriculum piloting had a positive effect on effective implementation of secondary school curriculum. The principals noted that participation in curriculum piloting would enable teachers to give a critique or suggest what will work and what will not in order to reduce irrelevances in the curriculum. Teachers also called on the government to provide regular training to teachers for active participation in all stages of curriculum development. In line with the study findings, Carl (2009) noted that participation in piloting would enable teachers to reflect on the curriculum and propose possible amendments, give a critical interpretation, evaluation of the learning areas/subjects position in the school curriculum, selection of suitable and relevant textbooks and other resources. The Alberta Teachers Association (2008) states that piloting assures teachers and schools that indeed the curriculum materials are ready for use. According to Carl (2009), teachers who participated in curriculum revision brought a new intelligence to their teaching. This suggests that there is a positive relationship between teachers’ participation in curriculum piloting and effective implementation of secondary school curriculum.

Chi square test was carried out to establish whether there existed a statistically significant relationship between teachers’ participation in curriculum piloting and implementation of the secondary school curriculum in Kenya. The results of the analysis are presented in Table 3

Table 3

Chi Square Test Results on Participation in Curriculum Piloting and Curriculum Implementation

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Statement | Chi-Square | Df | Asymp. Sig. |
| Participation enables teachers to identify the weaknesses and strengths of the curriculum and propose changes | 264.62 | 4 | .000 |
| Participation in curriculum orientation and advisory services leads to effective implementation | 362.63 | 4 | .000 |
| Participation in piloting increases teachers knowledge in the selection of curriculum support materials during implementation | 314.51 | 4 | .000 |
| Participation in in-service training leads to effective implementation of the curriculum  | 324.25 | 4 | .000 |
| Teachers do not implement the curriculum effectively without participating in curriculum piloting activities | 50.24 | 4 | .000 |
| Overall Chi Square | 282.12 | 15 | 0.000 |

Findings in Table 3 show that there was a statistically significant relationship between teachers participation in curriculum piloting and implementation of secondary school curriculum, [*χ 2(4, N=272) = 282.12, p=0.00<0.05].* Thus the null hypothesis which stated that there was no statistically significant relationship between teachers’ participation in curriculum piloting and implementation of secondary school curriculum in Kenya was rejected. In a pilot study carried out in Turkey by Klonari, Mandrikas, Melista, and Tzoura (2014) on implementation of the new Greek Geography curriculum in primary schools, 30% of primary school teachers who participated in the study felt completely familiar with its goals because the training seminars they underwent assisted them in forming a clear picture of learning outcomes, activities and teaching methods proposed in the new curriculum. This suggests a positive relationship between teacher participation in curriculum piloting and effective implementation of the curriculum. It also suggests the importance of preparing the teachers through in-service training before implementation of any curriculum for effective implementation. Training seminars helped teachers to respond effectively to the pilot implementation of the new Geography Curriculum in Turkey (Klonari et al, 2014)

**1.7 Conclusion**

This study established that there was statistically significant relationship between teacher participation in curriculum piloting and implementation of secondary school curriculum. The study found out that participation in piloting would enable teachers to gain new insights into the curriculum and identify gaps which may impede effective implementation of the curriculum at an early stage for correction. However due to the top- down model of curriculum development followed by KICD, curriculum development process is still largely centrally-controlled and the experiences and talents of teachers are untapped and under-utilized in this vital process. This implies that curriculum development process should involve a shift of decision-making from the centre to the periphery which will cause a change in teachers' and administrators' roles, involving them in greater decision making regarding curriculum construction. Establishing school – based committees, Sub-county, County and then national committees would enable teachers to air their opinions regarding curriculum issues. This would lead to greater participation and understanding thus creating ownership of the curriculum by teachers which may lead to effective implementation of the curriculum. This would probably improve the quality of education in Kenya.

**1.8 Recommendations**

This study recommends that teachers should be involved in the planning and development of the curriculum in all stages. Policy makers need to acknowledge the experience and talents of the teachers more in the curriculum development process. Furthermore, the KICD should widen the scope of its recruitments and lay better strategies to involve teachers in the curriculum development process. This can be done by redefining the curriculum development unit at KICD as an extension of the school system, thus providing more teachers to serve in it for a period of time. Also, curriculum development should be decentralized to local levels for easier participation from schools, sub-counties, counties and finally the national level taking into considerations all relevant contributions by various stake-holders. Studies on curriculum models followed by KICD should be conducted with a view to proposing alternative models that would enable more teachers and other stakeholders to participate fully in curriculum development process. Research should be conducted on other factors impeding effective implementation of both primary and secondary school curriculum such as the lack of infrastructure, low morale of teachers and students with a view to correcting the situation.
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